

MATTER OF HOLGUIN
In Deportation Proceedings

A-19052331

A-19052332

Decided by Board November 19, 1969

Where respondents' generalized statements of the reasons for their appeals do not indicate the aspect of the special inquiry officer's orders they consider incorrect and for what reason, their appeals in deportation proceedings from orders of the special inquiry officer granting voluntary departure, the relief requested, and the only relief for which eligible, are summarily dismissed as frivolous appeals and oral argument thereon denied by the Board of Immigration Appeals since respondents failed to clearly specify the reasons for their appeals within the meaning of 8 CFR 3.1(d) (1-a) (i), and they were granted the relief requested by the special inquiry officer (8 CFR 3.1(d) (1-a) (iii)).

CHARGE:

Order: Act of 1952—Section 241(a) (2) [8 U.S.C. 1251(a) (2)]—Nonimmigrant—remained longer (both respondents).

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS: Manuel Lopez, Esquire
1725 West Beverly Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90026

Respondents, husband and wife, appeal from orders of a special inquiry officer finding them deportable and granting them voluntary departure. Their notices of appeal request oral argument before this Board. The requests for oral argument will be denied and the appeals will be summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 CFR 3.1(d) (1-a).

The facts are not in dispute. The respondents are natives and citizens of Mexico. The husband, aged 33, was last admitted to the United States on November 23, 1966. The wife, aged 28, was last admitted on December 18, 1966. Both were admitted as temporary visitors and authorized to remain until May 9, 1969. A child born to them on September 23, 1967, in Los Angeles, is a citizen of the United States.